

October 2018	ITEM: dem services to add number
Delegated Decision Report	
LAMPITS LANE & WOOLIFERS AVENUE, CORRINGHAM & RODINGS AVENUE, SLH – OBJECTION TO A PROPOSAL TO IMPLEMENT PARKING RESTRICTIONS	
Wards and communities affected: The Homesteads Corringham & Fobbing	Key Decision: No
Report of: Councillor A Watkins – Environment & Highways	
Accountable Assistant Director: Andy Millard, Assistant Director of Planning, Transportation and Public Protection	
Accountable Director: Steve Cox, Corporate Director of Place	
This report is Public	

Executive Summary

A statutory consultation was carried out at Lampits Lane & Woolifers Avenue, Corringham & Rodings Avenue, SLH which included a proposal to implement double yellow lines “at any time” parking restrictions on Rodings Avenue between Nos. 38 and 48; to prevent people parking around the bend creating issues of visibility and obstruction.

1. Recommendation(s)

1.1 It is recommended that following consideration of the objections to the proposed restrictions in Rodings Avenue, the objection is partially upheld and the parking restrictions are implemented as proposed on the north west side only and that those on the south east side from the west boundary of 38 to the north boundary of 48, Rodings Avenue, SLH be omitted. The restrictions proposed at Lampits Lane & Woolifers Avenue, Corringham are to be implemented as proposed.

1.2 It is further recommended that the objectors are notified accordingly.

2. Introduction and Background

- 2.1 Funding was allocated within the 2018/2019 Integrated Transport Programme to investigate parking restrictions at various sites around the borough where problems have been identified or requests have been received from members of the community.
- 2.2 Among requests received were for parking restrictions to be implemented on Rodings Avenue as people were experiencing difficulties due to cars parking around the bend near Nos. 38 to 48 obstructing the footway/carriageway and visibility sight lines. In addition requests were received for restrictions at Lampits Lane and Woolifers Avenue, Corringham due to similar parking issues.
- 2.3 A Statutory consultation was carried out between 29th June 2018 and 27th July 2018. Two objections were received from residents of Rodings Avenue, SLH.

3. Issues, Options and Analysis of Options

- 3.1 Two objections were received from the residents of Rodings Avenue where the proposal is to restrict the parking on both sides of the carriageway around the bend.
- 3.2 Both of the objections came from residents living within the area affected by the proposals, with their properties fronting onto the outside of the bend.
- 3.3 A main cause for concern related to issues surrounding the difficulties the proposal would create for the resident of No. 48 who writes *"I am a working mother of 3 amongst who is a 17 year old boy with ADHD and severe learning difficulties. I moved into this house because of the secured and gated front drive that have become a source of assurance for me with my boy's condition and do not plan to drop my kerb. My son has no sense of danger, and it is highly important and safe to have access to our car as soon as we leave the secured drive"*.

The other objection related to limited parking within the area.

- 3.4 The parking restrictions proposed are in line with the Highway Code to prevent vehicles parking around and on the bend and blocking access/egress and visibility sight lines.
- 3.5 As this proposal is from a request of a safety issue, it is considered that the restrictions should be implemented to ensure sight lines are maintained and that the footway and carriageway do not become obstructed.
- 3.6 However, having considered the objections submitted, specifically from the resident living at No. 48 Rodings Avenue, who has a child with severe learning difficulties, we feel it to be a reasonable request to relax the proposal to introduce restrictions around both sides of the bend and consider implementing restrictions on the inside of the bend only (on the north west side of Rodings Avenue between Nos. 25 to 27).

This would still ensure that the north side of the carriageway and footway remain unobstructed and sight lines around the bend are kept clear whilst reducing the impact on the residents.

All other restrictions proposed at Lampits Lane & Woolifers Avenue, Corringham are to be implemented as proposed.

- 3.7 At a general level, it is important to ensure that delegated decisions are taken by the appropriate officer, and that the origin of the delegation can be readily identified in case of future challenge.

In this instance, should parking restrictions be carried forward to implementation, they would be subject to the making of a Traffic Regulation Order (TRO). Under the provision of the Road Traffic Regulation Act 1984, local authorities can implement TRO's, designed to regulate, restrict or prohibit the use of a road or any part of the width of a road by vehicular traffic or pedestrians. A TRO may take effect at all times or during specified periods, and certain classes of traffic may be exempted from a TRO.

Permanent TRO's are subject to the Local Authorities Traffic Orders (Procedure) (England and Wales) Regulations 1996, which impose various legal requirements prior to the making of an order. These requirements include publishing a notice of the proposals in a local newspaper, display of notices in roads or other places affected by the order; or the delivery of notices or letters to premises, or premises occupied by persons, appearing to the authority to be likely affected by any provision in the order and allowing potential objectors 21 days to make representations. It is incumbent on the Council to take account of any representations made as a consequence of such an advertisement.

- 3.8 Should parking restrictions be implemented as recommended, the cost will be approximately £1000.00 and would be funded from the Parking Service Requests – Cost Code E1829 9881 T3470. There is sufficient funding available for these projects.
- 3.9 There are no diversity and equality implications noted in this report.

4. Reasons for Recommendation

- 4.1 It is recommended that the objection is partially upheld and the parking restrictions are implemented as proposed on the north west side of Rodings Avenue from Nos. 25 to 27, but that those on the south east side from the west boundary of 38 to the north boundary of 48, Rodings Avenue, SLH are not implemented. The restrictions proposed at Lampits Lane & Woolifers Avenue, Corringham are to be implemented as proposed.
- 4.2 It is further recommended that the objectors are notified accordingly.

5. Consultation (including Overview and Scrutiny, if applicable)

5.1 The scheme falls within the wards of The Homesteads and Corringham & Fobbing and members from these wards have been consulted on this DDR.

6. Impact on corporate policies, priorities, performance and community impact

6.1 These actions accord with the Council priorities to create a safer environment.

7. Implications

7.1 Financial

Implications verified by: Laura Last
Management Accountant

Should parking restrictions be implemented as recommended, the cost will be approximately £1000.00 and would be funded from the Parking Service Requests – cost code E1829 9881 T3470. There is sufficient funding available for these projects.

7.2 Legal

Implications verified by: Robert Capstick,
Planning & Highways Locum

Legal implications are contained within the body of the report and the representations received have been analysed and acted upon before reaching a decision. There are no legal implications associated with this report.

7.3 Diversity and Equality

Implications verified by: Becky Price
Team Manager
Community Development and Equalities

Diversity and equality implications are covered in the body of the report.

7.4 Other implications (where significant) – i.e. Staff, Health, Sustainability, Crime and Disorder)

None

8. Background papers used in preparing the report (including their location on the Council's website or identification whether any are exempt or protected by copyright):

- Emails of objection

9. Appendices to the report

- None
-

Report Author:

Jeanette Ketley

Engineering Technician

Transport Development